IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION O	F: Anthony BEVERINA,	et al. ART UNIT:	2128
SERIAL NO.:	09/453,509	EXAMINER:	Thai Q. Phan
FILING DATE:	December 3, 1999		
FOR:	METHOD AND APPARA	TUS FOR RISK MANAGEM	ENT
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW			
COMMISSIONER FOR F PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 223			
SIR:			
Applicant request review being filed with this requ	of the final rejection in the abovest.	e-identified application. No a	mendments are
This request is being file	d with a Notice of Appeal.		
	or the reason(s) stated on the atta nan five (5) pages may be provide		
I am the:			
assignee	t/inventor. of record of the entire interest.	SD 2 72(h) is surfaced	
	See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. attorney or agent of record.		
Registra	tion No.: 41,828		
	or agent acting under 37 CFR 1 tion number if acting under 37 C		
NOTE: Signatures of all t Submit multiple forms if r	he inventors or assignees of reconore than one signature is require	rd of the entire interest or thei d, see below*	r representative(s) are required.
▼Total o	f forms are sub	mitted.	
		Respectfully submitted,	
		DLA PIPER RUDNICK GR	AY CARY US LLP

James M. Heintz Registration No. 41,828

1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-2412 Telephone No. 202.861.3900 Facsimile No. 202.223.2085 DOCKET NO. 8594-001-64

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Anthony BEVERINA, et al.

ART UNIT: 2128

SERIAL NO .:

09/453,509

EXAMINER: Thai O. Phan

FILING DATE:

December 3, 1999

FOR:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RISK MANAGEMENT

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicants hereby request, pursuant to the pilot program described at 1296 Off. Gaz. 67 (July 12, 2005, as extended on January 11, 2006), pre-appeal brief review of the outstanding rejection of claims 1-12, 44 and 47 of the present application. The current version of the claims is reflected in the amendment dated December 15, 2005.

The Examiner has again rejected claims 1-12, 44 and 47 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,442,694 ("Bergman"). As explained more fully in the Amendment dated December 15, 2005, the Examiner has made a clear mistake by confusing predicting when a terrorist attack will occur with detecting an attack that has already been launched. This is a simple yet fundamental difference.

1

Applicants have reviewed the passages cited in the "Response to Arguments" section of the office action, and they are all directed toward detection rather than prediction. Accordingly, Bergman fails to disclose "determining a probability that a terrorist attack using the weapon and at the delivery point will occur" as required by claims 1 and 7. Applicants therefore request withdrawal of the outstanding rejection and allowance of all currently

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

James M. Heintz

Registration No. 41,828

1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-2412 Telephone No. (202) 861-3900 Facsimile No. (202) 223-2085

pending claims.